

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,858		02/18/2005	Sadanobu Shirai	2005_0152A	3564	
513	7590	07/14/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
	•	ND & PONACK, L	AHMED, HASAN SYED			
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1615		
				DATE MAIL ED: 07/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		Application No.						
Office Action Summary		10/524,858	SHIRAI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hasan S. Ahmed	1615					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.		·					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
- 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not received	/ea.					
Attachmen		4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ny (PTO 413)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date					
3) N Infon	l Patent Application (PTO-152)							

Application/Control Number: 10/524,858 Page 2

Art Unit: 1615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's preliminary amendment filed on 8 September 2005 and second supplemental IDS filed on 3 March 2006.

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "gum." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Based on antecedent basis, this limitation should read "rubber."

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higo, et. al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,866,157).

Higo et. al. teach a percutaneous patch formulation (see col. 2, lines 32-39; Example 5). The disclosed patch is comprised of:

- an adhesive layer on a backing (see col. 6, line 7);
- said adhesive layer comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/524,858 Page 3

Art Unit: 1615

1-4% (w/w) tulobuterol (see col. 3, lines 39 and 58);

o 0.01-20% (w/w)  $C_{11}$ - $C_{22}$  fatty acids (see col. 4, line 60 – col. 5, line 32);

15-60% (w/w) rubber (see col. 3, line 64 – col. 4, line 9);

o 10-70% (w/w) adhesive resin (see col. 4, lines 19 - 37); and

o 10-60% (w/w) plasticizer (see col. 4, lines 38 - 59).

Higo, et. al. explain that combining the disclosed agents into a patch formulation is beneficial because it results in increased percutaneous absorption of active agents and reduced skin irritation (see col. 2, lines 26-29).

While Higo, et. al. do not explicitly teach all the instantly claimed percentages, it is the position of the examiner that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine suitable percentages through routine or manipulative experimentation to obtain the best possible results, as these are variable parameters attainable within the art.

Moreover, generally, differences in concentration will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456; 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Applicants have not demonstrated any unexpected or unusual results, which accrue from the instant percentage ranges.

Application/Control Number: 10/524,858 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined tulobuterol, a rubber, an adhesive resin, a higher fatty acid, and a plasticizer into a patch formulation, as taught by Higo, et. al. Motivation, as explained by Higo, et. al., comes from increased percutaneous absorption of active agents and reduced skin irritation.

### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hasan S. Ahmed whose telephone number is 571-272-4792. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Woodward can be reached on 571-272-8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600